

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,801	06/18/2001		Niraj Gopal	CISCO-3666	4735
7590 11/19/2004			EXAMINER		
David B. Rito	chie		DENNISON, JERRY B		
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA	San Jose, CA 95164			2143	
				DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
n .	09/884,801	GOPAL, NIRAJ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	J. Bret Dennison	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE O	MONTH/O) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may bly within the statutory minimum of to divill apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	June 2001.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 June 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have be	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	• • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041018				

Application/Control Number: 09/884,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Action is in response to Application Number 09/884,801 received on 18 June 2001.

2. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willie et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,052,724) in view of Wolf et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,278,694).

3. Regarding claims 1-3, Willie discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon computer-executable instructions for performing a method for detecting data modifications in MIB tables, the method comprising:

selecting a MIB table that has been modified (Willie, col. 8, lines 1-7);

determining whether the most recent modification is more recent then a recorded time indicating the last time that a poll was performed (Willie, col. 8, lines 35-41).

Willie also discloses containing counters and other various variables used by the directory service to maintain the status of the portion of the distributed directory on the managed server (Willie, col. 7, lines 60-67) and he also discloses the directory service

maintaining an event system where traps are generated upon the occurrence of an event and is communicated to the management station when polling takes place (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-40).

Page 3

However, Willie does not explicitly state when the most recent modification is more recent, retrieving a count of the number of modifications that have been performed on the selected table; and

determining whether the count can be resolved and when the count can not be resolved, retrieving at least one modified row for the selected table.

In an analogous art, Wolf teaches a method for collecting and reporting monitoring data for network probes where a network manager periodically polls remote probes for monitoring data, and the network manager receives messages containing counter values for the number of changes during a sampling time and using the counter values to retrieve data if applicable (Wolf, col. 5, lines 10-40).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the network monitoring of Wolf into Willie for the benefit of calculating modifications of data observed by the network manager and combining data with the modifications made by the network manager to obtain a complete set of modifications over sampling times (Wolf, col. 1, lines 40-45).

4. Regarding claims 4, 7, 10, 13, and 15, Willie discloses a method for initializing a system for detecting data modifications in MIB tables, the method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/884,801

Art Unit: 2143

identifying at least one unregistered MIB table to be monitored for modifications (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-45);

registering the identified table (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-67);

initializing a count of the number of modifications that have been performed on the identified table (Willie, col. 7, lines 60-67);

However, Willie does not explicitly disclose initializing a timestamp as to when a last modification was performed on the identified table. In an analogous art of networking, Wolf discloses a method for collecting network data over sampling times (Wolf, col. 3, lines 40-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the network monitoring of Wolf into Willie for the benefit of calculating modifications of data observed by the network manager and combining data with the modifications made by the network manager to obtain a complete set of modifications over sampling times (Wolf, col. 1, lines 40-45).

5. Regarding claims 5, 8, 11, Willie and Wolf disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 4, 7, and 10, including

determining whether an observation of change notifications is desired or required for the identified table (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-67); and when the observation of change notifications is desired or required, enabling observation for the identified table (Willie, col. 8, lines 20-67). See motivation for claim 4.

6. Regarding claim 6, 9, 12, 14, and 16, Willie and Wolf disclose the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 4, 7, 10, 13, and 15, including registering a callback function for each registered table (Willie, col. 8, lines 35-45). See motivation for claim 4.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571)272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703)308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Bret Dennison Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143